



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER: 07/369,686 FILING DATE: 06/21/89 FIRST INVENTOR: DORSCHUG ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: M HQAG790

EXAMINER: PORTA, M

CLASS: 185

PAPER NUMBER: 6

DATE FILED: 04/10/91

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT
& DUNNER
1300 I STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3315

THIS ACTION IS FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

☒ FOR RESTRICTION PURPOSES ONLY
☒ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on _____ ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 30 month(s), 30 days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-10 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☐ Claims _____ are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☒ Claims 1-10 are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Serial No. 47/000,106
Art Unit 180

Restrictions to use of the following inventions is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.21:

I. Claims 1-5, and 10, drawn to mini-proinsulin compounds, pharmaceuticals, and methods of treatment, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.

II. Claims 6-9, drawn to a process for the preparation of mini-proinsulin compounds, DNA sequences, plasmids and host cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 39.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the mini-proinsulin compounds of Group I can be made by another process; for example, they can be made by Merrifield solid state synthesis.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the necessity for non-extensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

A telephone call was made to Mr. David Forson on 8 April 1991 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariann Faria whose telephone number is (703) 332-2276.

Serial No. 277000, 000
Art Unit 185

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 303-2100.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



RICHARD A. SCHWARTZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 185